
FTF FY 2023 Performance Scorecard Bureau for Resilience and Food Security

This Feed the Future (FTF) Performance Scorecard assesses the Bureau for Resilience and Food Security progress toward FY 2030 Performance Targets.

# Performance Overview



The FY 2023 **On track** value is determined by drawing a line from the baseline (FY 2022) to the FY 2030 Target. For FY 2023, Performance Targets are considered **On track** if they have reported at least 90% of that value.



**Note:** *For Performance Target 2 (Gender financing ratio), the target is to increase financing to females for those OUs that are already achieving parity ($1).*

*For Performance Target 4 (PSI), all targets are relative to the last three years’ reporting. In future years, the interim targets may increase.*

*For Performance Target 5 (MDD-W), all FY23 actual values are also the baseline, and may have been collected in a prior year. See the detail page for more information.*


*See detailed* [*methodology document*](https://docs.google.com/document/d/13n-43ppFiGKauscQ7HaAiQDCM4DssGEgRdq2fMyTDn0/edit) *here. If you have questions, please email the FTF Data and Analytics team at* [refs.adl.da@usaid.gov](mailto:refs.adl.da@usaid.gov).

### Performance Target (PT) Details

This section shows PT scores for each applicable PT for Bureau for Resilience and Food Security.

## Performance Target 1: Value of annual sales of producers and firms receiving USG assistance






In FY 2023, USAID Bureau for Resilience and Food Security had 9 activities that aligned the ‘Value of annual sales from producers and firms receiving USG assistance’ (EG.3.2-26), and 7 contributed to the sales total of $780,040,000.

###### Performance Target 2: Value of financing accessed by female FTF participants per $1 of financing accessed by male FTF participants






In FY 2023, USAID Bureau for Resilience and Food Security had 2 IMs/Activities that reported all four sex disaggregates needed to contribute to PT2. The total value of financing among all activities, regardless of disaggregates, was $142,150,000 (EG.3.2-27 total), with $142,150,000 that was disaggregated by females and males, and an additional $119,010,000 to ‘mixed’ firms that have both males and females, and $20,000 that was not sex disaggregated.

###### Performance Target 3: Number of cultivated hectares under climate adaptation/climate risk management practices and technologies with USG assistance






In FY 2023, Bureau for Resilience and Food Security had 74 activities that aligned the indicator for hectares under improved management practices (EG.3.2-25). Of those activities, 14 reported on the ‘Climate adaptation/climate risk management’ disaggregate and contributed to the OU total for this PT.

###### Performance Target 4: Value of private sector investment leveraged by the USG to support food security and nutrition





In FY 2023, Bureau for Resilience and Food Security had 5 activities that aligned the private sector investment indicator (EG.3.1-15/-14), ‘Value of new private sector investment leveraged by the USG to support food security and nutrition [IM-level]’, and 5 contributed to the OU total in FY 2023.

###### Performance Target 5: Percent of women consuming a diet of minimum diversity (MDD-W)





PT5 is not available for USAID Bureau for Resilience and Food Security.

### National Context

National context data is not available for Bureau for Resilience and Food Security.

## NULL

## Agricultural Transformation Index Score


The IFPRI Agricultural Transformation Index (ATI) Score is a high level indicator of the status of agricultural market systems in each country.

No Ag Transformation Index available for Bureau for Resilience and Food Security.

## Budget Trend

At the Operating Unit level, the Performance Targets are based in part on the FY 2024 budget request. The budget chart below includes EG.3 money only.

For the REFS Bureau, this value was divided by two to account for the large proportion of the budget that does not report on Performance Target indicators.



## Active Portfolio

The following activities are considered “active” based on their reporting in FY 2023 and expected FY 2024 reporting. The Analytics, Data and Learning Division defines an “active” activity as one that reported targets, actuals, or deviation narratives for the current year (FY 2023), or the next out year targets (i.e. FY 2024).


## Top Activities (Award Disbursements and Results)

This section highlights the 5 activities (out of the total 74 in the Bureau for Resilience and Food Security (RFS) portfolio) that have the highest level of FY 2023 annual disbursements and highest reported values for the relevant indicators. The first table below shows activities with the highest total transaction amounts. The columns to the right indicate if these activities are reporting on relevant PT indicators.

| Award Number | Activity Code | Activity Name | FY23 Disbursements | PT1 | PT2 | PT3 | PT4 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AID-BFS-IO-17-00005 | 6378 | Seeds to Business | $184,663,755 | X | - | X | - |
| AID-BFS-IO-17-00005 | 1999 | ARP- Golden Rice | $184,663,755 | - | - | - | - |
| AID-BFS-IO-17-00005 | 2264 | CA: CTEH: AVISA | $184,663,755 | - | - | X | - |
| AID-BFS-IO-17-00005 | 2284 | CA: CTEH: Next Gen Cassava | $184,663,755 | - | - | - | - |
| AID-BFS-IO-17-00005 | 2283 | CA: CTEH: Potato & Sweet Potato | $184,663,755 | - | - | - | - |
| AID-BFS-IO-17-00005 | 2000 | CA: Temporary Maize: Heat Stress Resilient Maize for South Asia | $184,663,755 | - | - | X | - |

The next table (below) shows the activities that reported the highest values for the relevant PT indicators. The total disbursements are included, if available.

| Award Number | Activity Code | Activity Name | Total Disbursements | Sales | Hectares | PSI |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7200AA19CA00024 | 1940 | ACELI Africa Program (formerly Prosper Africa / CSAF ) GDA APS w/ Global Development Incubator | $1,561,084 | $501,808,797 | NA | $65,000,000 |
| AID-OAA-A-17-00022 | 2080 | Feed the Future Partnership for Sustainable Supply Chains | $581,087 | $164,786,807 | NA | NA |
| 7200AA18CA00008 | 2076 | Alliance for Inclusive and Nutritious Food Processing | $3,385,852 | $58,364,092 | NA | $1,021,073 |
| 720BFS19CA00001 | 2090 | Feed the Future Business Drivers for Food Safety (BD4FS) | $3,363,083 | $36,935,883 | NA | NA |
| 7200AA21CA00022 | 4606 | CA: Feed the Future Insect-Resistant Eggplant Partnership | $1,687,444 | $12,383,945 | NA | NA |



### Appendix 1: Response to “Not on track” Performance Targets

This section provides space for Bureau for Resilience and Food Security to provide an explanation of why the following PTs are not on track and how you could adjust to be on track in the coming year(s). To help in your response(s), the relevant section of the FTF/GFS Key Issue Narrative (KIN) is provided, as it *may be* useful in drafting a responses. Note that the KIN is addressing the sum of the out year targets (FY 2023) set by the Bureau for Resilience and Food Security activities that are reporting on each PT. The FY 2023 out year targets were set prior to the establishment of the FY 2030 Performance Targets, so the below explanations from the KIN may not apply to the Performance Target deviation.

* In Section 4 of the FTF KIN, your OU discusses FY24 and FY25 targets, while these Scorecards are addressing progress against a trajectory from historical reporting to your OU’s FY30 target. Also, the FY 2023 out year targets were set prior to the establishment of the FY 2030 Performance Targets. Therefore, the below explanations from the KIN may not apply to these FY30 Performance Target deviations.
* These responses will be used to compile a Congressional report, the GFSS Implementation Report, to discuss progress against the FTF FY 2030 Performance Targets, as mandated by the GAO.
* Only PTs that are ‘Not on Track’ require review and explanation from the OU.

Include in the response:

1. An explanation of why this target is not on track. Explanations may include that the OU/Mission was not aware of the target prior to planning activities.
2. Planned actions for ‘not on track’ targets. Planned actions may include the launch of new activities, building awareness, holding portfolio reviews, or other suggestions.
3. Limit responses to 100 words.